Impact of Hybrid Working on Employee Work Stress and Performance

[1] Vaishnavi V Sutrave, [2] Srishti Nandi, [3] Utkarsh Sah

[1] [2] [3] Xavier Institute of Management and Entrepreneurship, Bangalore, Karnataka, India
Corresponding Author Email: [1] vaishnavi29blr@xime.org, [2] srishti29blr@xime.org, [3] utkarsh29blr@xime.org

Abstract— The transition to hybrid working models has become a defining feature of modern employment, especially after the COVID-19 pandemic. This study explores the impact of hybrid working arrangements on employee work stress and performance, filling a significant gap in existing literature. A mixed-methods approach was used to collect data from 300 employees from different industries through surveys and in-depth interviews. The findings indicate that while hybrid work might be flexible and increase the job satisfaction of most workers, it poses new unique challenges that tend to deepen work-related stress. Specifically, the blurring between work and personal life as well as feelings of being isolated and inability to get through to colleagues easily were all identified as critical stressors. Thirdly, the study reveals the subtleties regarding performance impacts of hybrid working conditions; while some employees reveal that they have increased their productivity due to flexible conditions of work, others decrease their performance because of disturbances at home and lack of direct supervision. The implications are that organizational support systems, such as mental health, communication strategies, and related stressors, are factors that can help mitigate stresses as well as enhance performance under hybrid working conditions. Ultimately, the study requires that organizations develop approaches tailored to the varied experiences of their hybridized workforce for gaining leverage from flexible work options while minimizing drawbacks. Implications for management practice and for future research directions are discussed, informing organizations about how to navigate the hybrid conundrums related to work.

Keywords: Hybrid Work Models, Employee Stress, Job Performance, Work-Life Balance, Flexibility, Communication Challenges, Organizational Support, Employee Well-being.

I. INTRODUCTION

The shift to hybrid work, accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, has redefined traditional workplace models. This new approach offers employees the flexibility to split their time between remote work and in-office presence, enabling greater autonomy in how and where they work. While the hybrid model has been praised for improving work-life balance and job satisfaction, it has also introduced new challenges that impact employee well-being and performance.

The Emergence of Hybrid Work

Traditionally, the workplace was organized based on fixed hours and office attendance. However, with technological development, such as high-speed internet and cloud-based tools, flexible work arrangements are becoming possible. Remote work, which was gaining popularity before the pandemic, was mostly considered a privilege. The COVID-19 crisis made it imperative for organizations to adopt remote work quickly, proving that remote work is feasible at a large scale. With a long-term solution in view, hybrid work became one of the strategic models and was a balance between work done from home and in office. McKinsey (2021) reported that 58% of employees desired to work in a hybrid format after the pandemic, which indicated that there was a significant change in the expectations of a workplace.

Advantages of Hybrid Work

Hybrid work provides flexibility to the employees so that they can balance their personal and professional life better. It's more flexible for people to accommodate the kind of work environment that fits them by removing or introducing distractions, from the home to the office environment, for instance. Hybrid models further improve job satisfaction and engagement; Gallup, for example, found that remote-working employees show greater engagement and burnout less than their traditional peers (2021). Organizations also reap cost savings from operational functions since smaller office spaces and optimized resource allocation reduce cost, freeing up resources to be invested in technology that promotes remote work.

Challenges of Hybrid Work

Even though hybrid work has its merits, it has several challenges. Its greatest challenge is the problem employees face when trying to separate work and personal lives. Flexibility is so appealing, but it easily blurs boundaries, adds to longer working hours, and raises stress levels. Another concern is the feelings of isolation because some employees miss the social connections and interactions that are inherent in an office environment, which might affect mental health. Effective communication also becomes a challenge since reliance on digital tools might lead to misunderstandings. Remote employees might feel cut off from the organizational culture and decision-making processes, which may be a hindrance to collaboration and teamwork.

Impact on Employee Stress

Hybrid work has stressors that are not present in a traditional office environment. Lack of in-person interaction causes a sense of loneliness and disconnection, especially among those employees who depend much on social interaction (Kurland & Bailey, 1999). There is increased anxiety caused by constant availability and productivity as long as employees feel they are under observation or judgment. The absence of defined lines between work and personal life may create confusion and thus not provide a platform to set patterns, resulting in burnout and higher levels of stress.

Employee Performance Impact

Performance under hybrid work is complex. Many people who work remotely report to be more productive because there are fewer distractions and more freedom to tailor their workplace. Others find it problematic due to the presence of household distractions and lack of immediate direct supervision. Also, hybrid models may present inequities among workers because those working remotely often miss out on networking and informal discussions that occur at the workplace. These differences can impede one's career development and increase the perception of unfair treatment among team members.

Support Systems in Organizations

There is a need for complete support systems in organizations dealing with hybrid work. The organization must provide mental health support, including counseling services and wellness programs, that will help reduce stress levels. Clear communication strategies include regular check-ins and team-building exercises, which help in bringing about a sense of belonging and reduce feelings of alienation. There also needs to be training managers on how to lead in hybrid environments. Managers must be able to set expectations, give constructive feedback, and ensure that all employees are recognized equally, irrespective of the location of their work.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Hybrid Work Models, Employee Stress, and Performance

The literature on hybrid work models, employee stress, and performance is vast and multi-faceted, especially with the increasing trend in organizations to adopt such work arrangements. This review of literature synthesizes what currently exists on hybrid work with a focus on its advantages, disadvantages, and the effects on employee stress and performance, which have been categorized into key themes: evolution of work models, psychological and emotional aspects, communication dynamics, organizational culture, and technology.

Evolution of Work Models

Work has changed significantly, moving from fixed hours and physical office presence to more flexible arrangements facilitated by technological advancement. Gallup (2020) reported that before the COVID-19 pandemic, about 43% of U.S. employees worked remotely at least part-time. The pandemic accelerated this trend, leading to widespread adoption of hybrid work. According to Allen et al. (2015), hybrid work distinguishes between remote and hybrid, the latter allowing a mix of both. It might also provide more flexibility for the satisfaction of jobs, thus revealing a more organizational interest in employee autonomy and well-being.

Benefits of Hybrid Work

Hybrid work arrangements have many advantages such as increased flexibility, which results in a more satisfied job and reduces levels of stress (Wang et al., 2021). Work-life balance is improved through enhanced management of personal and professional matters. Studies (such as Bloom et al., 2015) further establish that remote workers could have higher productivity because of fewer distractions and reduced traveling time (Sullivan Lewis, 2001).

Issues With Hybrid Work

Although hybrid work has many benefits, it brings along some challenges that will affect the employee's well-being. Kossek et al. (2012) researched that flexible arrangements blur the boundary between work and personal life, increase hours worked, and generate more stress. Isolation feelings can develop, further affecting mental health and job satisfaction (Golden Veiga, 2005). Communication issues are considerable because people rely on digital tools and create misunderstandings or even hinder the collaboration process (Ziguras Harreveld, 2017).

Psychological and Emotional Implications Effect on Employee Performance

The psychological impact of hybrid work is paramount. Working from home can cause isolation and anxiety because of the pressure to be more productive and the lack of clear separation between work and personal life (Wang et al., 2020; Sonnentag Fritz, 2015). This lack of structure leads to feelings of being overwhelmed and burnt out.

The effect of hybrid work on performance is two-sided. On the one hand, some employees reported higher productivity, while on the other hand, there were distractions at home that could hinder focus (Gajendran Harrison, 2007). In addition, remote workers could miss out on vital networking opportunities, which affects career advancement (Morrison Milliken, 2000).

Communication Dynamics in Hybrid Work

Effective communication is required for coordination in hybrid environments. Majchrzak et al. (2004) point out that

proper communication tools are required. Frequent check-ins and virtual meetings can help sustain the exchange within a team. Organizations need to guard against the downsides of over-communication, though (Bakker et al., 2003). Also, an open communication culture is helpful for a cohesive team outcome (Weller et al., 2020).

Organizational Culture in Hybrid Work

Organizational culture plays a significant role in employee experiences in the hybrid environment. A strong culture promotes belonging, which is critical for job satisfaction (Schein, 2010). Organizations must develop a positive culture with flexibility and collaboration to fit the values of employees (Schneider et al., 2013). Practices that encourage social interaction will improve engagement (Baker et al., 2019).

The Role of Technology in Hybrid Work

Technology is essential for hybrid work because tools are transforming collaboration (Leonardi et al., 2010). But more reliance on technology causes a phenomenon known as "technostress" (Raghuram et al., 2019). Organizations need to provide educational training in the effective application of technology and also endorse healthy boundaries. In such a way, investments on technology which support employee well-being can provide better experiences in hybrid environments also (Kremer et al., 2021).

In summary, hybrid work models have major benefits in terms of flexibility and productivity but also entail challenges related to stress, communication, and organizational culture. Understanding these dynamics will be crucial for optimizing the well-being and performance of employees in hybrid settings.

III. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

With hybrid work continuing to change, future research should cover several key areas:

1. Longitudinal Studies: Long-term studies regarding the impact of hybrid work on the wellbeing and performance of employees across time would be invaluable.
2. Diversity and Inclusion: This research would explore how hybrid work influences diverse populations within an organization, including those underrepresented or individuals with disabilities.
3. Managerial Practices: Studies that examine best practices in managing hybrid work will be of value to organizations in the way they can support their teams and hold them accountable.
4. Impact on Team Dynamics: The ways in which hybrid work influences team dynamics, collaboration patterns, and innovation will inform how teams can be optimized in different work environments.
5. Technological Advancements: As technology evolves very rapidly, research should be done on how emerging

technologies—such as artificial intelligence or virtual reality—can improve hybrid work experiences.

IV. METHODOLOGY

Research Design: The study employs a mixed-methods approach to examine how hybrid work models impact employee stress and performance. Quantitative data is collected via surveys, while qualitative insights are gathered through interviews and focus groups, providing a comprehensive understanding.

Quantitative Component: A cross-sectional survey assesses stress levels, performance metrics, and work-life balance among employees in hybrid work settings. Approximately 300 participants will be surveyed using validated scales such as the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) and Work-Life Balance Scale (WLBS).

Qualitative Component: Semi-structured interviews and focus groups (20–30 participants) explore employee well-being, communication dynamics, and organizational culture, adding depth to quantitative findings.

Sampling Strategy: Purposive sampling targets employees with at least six months of hybrid work experience across diverse industries. Recruitment is through organizational partnerships and social media.

Inclusion Criteria:

Participants must: Work in hybrid arrangements (remote and in-office).

Have 6+ months of hybrid experience.

Be 18+ years old.

Provide informed consent.

Sample Size: Quantitative: 300 participants (95% confidence level, 5% margin of error).

Qualitative: 20–30 participants for thematic saturation.

Data Collection Methods:

Quantitative: Online surveys using validated scales (e.g., PSS, WLBS). Distributed via email and social media over four weeks, with reminders.

Qualitative: Interviews: 45–60 minutes, virtual, covering communication, stress, work-life balance, and organizational support.

Focus Groups: 6–8 participants, 60–90 minutes, discussing hybrid work challenges, organizational culture, and teamwork strategies.

V. DATA ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES

Quantitative Data Analysis: Using statistical software like SPSS or R, the survey data will be analyzed through:

- Descriptive Statistics: Mean, standard deviations, and frequencies for stress, job performance, and work-life balance.
- Inferential Statistics: Pearson's correlation and regression to examine relationships and predictors of performance based on stress and work-life balance.

- Comparative Analyses: T-tests or ANOVA to compare stress and performance across demographic groups.

Qualitative Data Analysis: Interview and focus group data will be transcribed and analyzed via thematic analysis:

- Familiarization with transcripts.
- Coding recurring themes or patterns.
- Grouping codes into broader themes.
- Interpreting themes in relation to existing hybrid work literature.

Ethical Considerations:

- Informed Consent: Participants receive detailed study information before consent.
- Confidentiality: Participant identities are de-identified and data securely stored.
- Voluntary Participation: Participation is optional, with withdrawal allowed anytime.
- Data Security: Access restricted to authorized researchers.

Limitations:

- Self-Reported Data: Subject to biases like social desirability or recall bias.
- Cross-Sectional Design: Limits causal inferences; longitudinal studies are better for temporal analysis.
- Generalizability: Results may not apply to all industries or locations due to purposive sampling.
- Participant Bias: Willing participants may differ from non-participants, causing selection bias.

Findings: The study integrates quantitative survey results and qualitative insights to provide a detailed understanding of how hybrid work affects employee stress and performance.

Quantitative Findings

Demographic Characteristics of Participants

A total of 300 participants completed the online survey, with a demographic breakdown as follows:

- *Gender:*

52% female, 46% male, 2% non-binary/other

- *Age:*

  - 18-24 years: 15%, 25-34 years: 30%, 35-44 years: 25%, 45-54 years: 20%, 55+ years: 10%

- *Industry:*

  - Technology: 35%, Finance: 20%, Healthcare: 15%, Education: 10%, Other: 20%

- *Job Level:*

  - Entry-level: 25%
  - Mid-level: 50%
  - Senior-level: 25%

Descriptive Statistics

The descriptive statistics for key variables measured in the survey are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Range
Perceived Stress Scale (PSS)	22.5	6.8	10-40
Job Performance (self-reported)	3.8	0.9	1-5
Work-Life Balance Scale (WLBS)	4.2	0.7	1-5

The average perceived stress level among participants was moderate, with a mean score of 22.5 on the PSS. Job performance was reported as high, with a mean score of 3.8 out of 5. Participants also reported a favorable work-life balance, with a mean score of 4.2.

Correlation Analysis

Pearson correlation coefficients were computed to examine the relationships between perceived stress levels, job performance, and work-life balance. The results are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2

Variable	Job Performance	Work-Life Balance
Perceived Stress	-0.45	-0.50
Job Performance Note: $p < .01$		0.55

Significant negative correlations were found between perceived stress and both job performance ($r = -0.45$) and work-life balance ($r = -0.50$), meaning that higher levels of stress were associated with lower job performance and poorer work-life balance. On the other hand, a significant positive correlation was observed between job performance and work-life balance ($r = 0.55$), indicating that employees who reported better work-life balance also reported higher job performance.

Regression Analysis

To further explore the predictors of job performance, multiple regression analysis was conducted where perceived stress and work-life balance were independent variables and job performance was the dependent variable. The regression model was statistically significant, $F(2, 297) = 45.67$, $p <.001$, and explained approximately 23% of the variance in job performance ($R^2 =.23$).

The findings revealed that perceived stress ($\beta = -0.32$, $p <.001$) and work-life balance ($\beta = 0.48$, $p <.001$) were significantly related to job performance. In other words,

every one-point increase in perceived stress was associated with a corresponding drop of about one-third of a point in job performance, whereas every one-point increase in work-life balance was associated with a corresponding rise of almost half a point in job performance.

Qualitative Findings

Thematic analysis was performed on qualitative data to obtain a number of major themes describing employee experiences in hybrid working environments. The themes have been summarized below and include quotations from participants.

Theme 1: Flexibility and Autonomy

Perhaps one of the major emergent themes from the qualitative data is the importance of flexibility and autonomy in hybrid work arrangements. Most of the respondents enjoyed flexibility and freedom over choice and control when working because that greatly added to the satisfaction that they get out of the jobs.

"I love being able to work from home a few days a week. It gives me the flexibility to manage my time better, especially with my kids at home." – *Participant A*

"Having the option to work remotely allows me to focus better on my tasks without the distractions of the office." – *Participant B*

However, some of the respondents also argued that this flexibility could sometimes blur the boundaries between work and personal life.

"While I enjoy working from home, sometimes it feels like I'm always 'on.' It's hard to switch off when your office is just down the hall." – *Participant C*

Theme 2: Communication Challenges

The most prominent theme established by the analysis is that the employees have difficulties communicating, especially with their colleagues and seniors, due to hybrid environments.

Employees had major issues relating to the ineffective communication among team members and superiors in a hybrid setting.

"There is something difficult in feeling the team's mood or whether they are engaging while video-calling. It feels like everyone's just following a ritual for the most part." - *Participant D*

"There's something lost in translation when you're not having face-to-face conversations. Misunderstandings happen more often." – *Participant E*

Despite these challenges, some participants highlighted strategies they employed to enhance communication.

"We've started having regular check-ins and virtual coffee breaks to stay connected as a team. It really helps!" – *Participant F.*

Theme 3: Increased Stress Levels

Many participants reported experiencing increased stress levels in hybrid work environments compared to traditional office settings. Factors contributing to this stress included workload management, isolation, and the pressure to perform.

"I feel like I'm working harder than ever because there's this constant pressure to prove that I'm being productive while working from home." – *Participant G*

"Sometimes I feel disconnected from my team. I miss those casual interactions we have with each other in the office." – *Participant H*

Further, participants reported how pressure influences their mental health and psychological well-being.

"I've had to put very tight limits in place for myself because otherwise, I end up working well into the night." – *Participant I*

Theme 4: Performance Perception

The perceptions of the participants about their job performance varied in hybrid settings. For instance, some participants said that they had increased productivity due to the reduction of distractions at work, while others faced a lack of motivation and accountability.

"I think I'm more productive at home because I can create my own environment, but there are days when I just can't find the motivation." – *Participant J*

"I worry that my boss can't see how hard I'm working when I'm not in the office. It makes me anxious about my evaluations." – *Participant K*

Additionally, some participants expressed concerns about how their performance would be assessed in a hybrid model.

"I hope our management understands that working remotely doesn't mean we're slacking off; we're just working differently." – *Participant L*

Theme 5: Organizational Support

Organizational support was one of the critical factors that appeared in influencing employee experiences within the hybrid work environment. The respondents were able to share their views on how their respective organizations were responding to the challenges of hybrid models.

"Our company has been great about providing resources for mental health support, which has been really helpful during these times." – *Participant M*

However, participants indicated that there is more that can be done for employees transitioning into hybrid work.

"I believe that there should be more training on how to effectively communicate and collaborate in a hybrid environment." *Participant N*

Overall, participants stated that they needed more support from leadership in ongoing matters regarding hybrid work.

VI. DISCUSSION

The transition to hybrid work models is a defining characteristic of employment in the contemporary period. It has been observed to rise sharply in the aftermath of the COVID-19 pandemic. This study aimed to analyze the relationship between hybrid work arrangements, employee stress, and job performance. The findings reveal that even though hybrid work provides employees with flexibility and autonomy, unique challenges arise that exacerbate stress and impact performance. This discussion will elaborate on these findings, contextualize them within existing literature, and provide recommendations for organizations seeking to optimize their hybrid work environments.

VII. INTERPRETATION OF FINDINGS: THE DICHOTOMY OF FLEXIBILITY AND STRESS

- Flexibility and Challenges: Hybrid work offers flexibility and autonomy, improving job satisfaction and work-life balance, particularly for personal responsibilities like childcare. However, it also creates stress and role conflict due to blurred boundaries and an "always-on" culture, increasing burnout risk.
- Communication Barriers: Participants reported communication challenges, including reduced informal interactions and dependence on digital tools, leading to miscommunication and isolation. Regular check-ins and team-building activities can help mitigate these issues.
- Stress and Performance: High stress negatively impacts performance, work-life balance, and productivity. Remote workers often feel pressure due to visibility concerns. Organizations must support employee well-being and provide clear performance evaluation criteria.
- Organizational Support: Supportive policies, mental health resources, and training for hybrid work are essential. Organizations should proactively prepare employees for hybrid models, fostering an open culture where issues are freely addressed.

Practical Implications:

- Set Boundaries: Promote reasonable work hours to reduce burnout.
- Enhance Communication: Provide virtual communication training and team-building opportunities.
- Prioritize Well-Being: Offer mental health and stress management support.
- Foster Trust: Create a supportive environment that values employee contributions.

Study Limitations:

- Sample Diversity: Limited to specific industries; future studies should include diverse sectors.
- Self-Reported Data: Subject to bias; objective performance measures are recommended.
- Cross-Sectional Design: Lacks causal insights; longitudinal studies are needed to track changes over time.

VIII. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

There are a number of potential avenues related to hybrid work arrangements that future research should explore:

Longitudinal Studies

Longitudinal studies would provide information on how the experiences of employees change over time as organizations adjust to hybrid models. Tracking individuals' stress levels, job performance, and well-being over an extended period would provide a good amount of data on the long-term effects of hybrid work.

Industry-Specific Research

Analyzing the hybrid work response of diverse industries would be able to give differences in employee experience and outcome, for instance frontline workers face challenges compared to their knowledge worker counterpart in industries like tech and finance.

Stress Management Interventions

Some relevant studies might involve research in developing and evaluating interventions designed to reduce stress in hybrid work environments, perhaps examining the effectiveness of training programs in time management, communication skills, or mindfulness practices.

The Role of Leadership

Exploring how leadership styles influence employee experiences in hybrid settings could be very enlightening in terms of best practices for managing remote teams. Understanding how leaders can foster engagement, trust, and accountability in hybrid environments is crucial for organizational success.

IX. CONCLUSION

Hybrid work models have fundamentally changed the landscape of employment in the last few years, mainly accelerated by the COVID- 19 pandemic.

It has become essential for organizations to navigate this new paradigm by understand-ing the impact of hybrid work on employee stress and performance. The present work thus set out to study relationships concerning the experiences of employees in hybrid work settings.

The results indicate that flexibility, stress, communication challenges, and performance outcomes are intertwined, which makes organizations need to have strategic approaches to optimize their hybrid work environments.

X. SUMMARY OF KEY FINDINGS

The findings of the study indicate several key themes that contribute to our understanding of hybrid work dynamics:

The Benefits of Flexibility

Hybrid work arrangements provide employees with great flexibility, enabling them to handle personal responsibilities better. This leads to higher job satisfaction. This is because the studies have shown that work-life balance and well-being are enhanced by flexible work (Gajendran & Harrison, 2007).

The Problem of Enhanced Stress

However, most respondents complained that the blurring of work and personal life boundaries made them feel highly stressed. Pressure to be "always on" creates guilt or anxiety and is in line with other research findings that telecommuting may increase role conflict and burnout (Allen et al., 2013).

Communication Barriers

Effective communication is one of the major issues because digital tools both aid and hinder collaboration. Technology permits work from anywhere, yet it does not provide opportunities for informal interactions that occur naturally in traditional offices, giving rise to misunderstandings and feelings of isolation (Kirkman et al., 2004).

The Stress Effect on Performance

Quantitative analysis showed a negative correlation between perceived stress and job performance, supporting the Job Demand-Resource model which suggests that high job demands can deplete resources and hinder performance (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). This underlines the importance of addressing employee well-being in hybrid settings.

The Role of Organizational Support

Organizational support is the most important aspect of the hybrid environment, and participants value resources such as mental health support but believe more can be done. This aligns with research indicating that perceived organizational support enhances well-being and performance (Rhoades & Eisenberger, 2002). Organizations must prioritize a supportive culture to meet employee needs effectively.

Conclusion: A Call for Strategic Action

The hybrid arrangements of work, as they continue to evolve, pose challenges that require an understanding of the dynamics for the improvement of employee well-being and optimal performance outcomes. The results of this study highlight the need for a proactive approach to deal with the issues surrounding hybrid work.

Organizations need to realize that, although hybrid models bring so much flexibility, it demands careful implementation strategies that would keep the needs of the employees in mind. The environments created can help employees flourish if organizations set clear boundaries between work and personal life, enhance communication practices, focus on well-being initiatives, and build a culture of trust.

Besides, organizations should maintain a constant conversation with employees regarding their experiences in hybrid work settings. It would be valuable to conduct regular surveys or establish mechanisms of feedback that would give an idea of the needs and preferences of employees, and accordingly change policies and practices.

Hybrid work transition, in a nutshell, presents both opportunities and challenges for organizations across the world. Embracing strategic actions that focus on the well-being and engagement of employees can help organizations utilize hybrid models while mitigating drawbacks. As we go into an increasingly flexible world of work, it is only logical that organizations be vigilant and responsive to the shifting needs of their workforce toward the creation of a culture that supports employees, keeps them connected, and gives them the power to succeed at their best.

REFERENCES

[1] Allen, T. D., Golden, T. D., Shockley, K. M. (2015). How effective is telecommuting? Assessing the status of our scientific findings. Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 16(2), 40-68.

[2] Bakker, A. B., Demerouti, E. (2007). The job demands-resources model: Challenges for employee well-being. Journal of Industrial Psychology, 33(3), 1-11.

[3] Gajendran, R., Harrison, D. A. (2007). The good, the bad, and the unknown about telecommuting: Meta-analysis of psychological mediators and individual consequences. Journal of Applied Psychology, 92(6), 1524-1541.

[4] Kirkman, B. L., Rosen, B., Tesluk, P., Gibson, C. B. (2004). The impact of team empowerment on virtual team performance: The role of team communication and cohesion. Personnel Psychology, 57(3), 703-734.

[5] Rhoades, L., Eisenberger, R. (2002). Perceived organizational support: A review of the literature. Journal of Applied Psychology, 87(4), 698-714.

[6] Shuffler, M. L., Gonzalez, J. A. (2019). The role of technology in team dynamics: A review of the literature and future directions for research and practice. Organizational Psychology Review, 9(3-4), 215-241.

[7] Spector, P. E., O'Connell, B. J. (1994). The contribution of work stressors to absenteeism: A multiple sample study. Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 67(3), 245-257.

[8] Tavares, A. I., Oliveira, E. (2020). The impact of remote work on employee performance: A systematic review and future research agenda. International Journal of Management Reviews, 22(3), 365-387.

[9] Wang, B., Liu, Y., Qian, J., Parker, S. K. (2021). Achieving effective remote working during the COVID-19 pandemic: A work design perspective. Applied Psychology, 70(1), 16-59.

[10] WFH Research (2020). How working from home works out: Evidence from a survey of 30,000 Americans. Retrieved from https://wfhresearch.com